UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, 2:08-cv-820-JCM-RJJ vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee Was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Servic marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court determined he was no longer incarcerated and ordered him to supplement his	Case 2:08-cv-00820-JCM-RJJ Docur	ment 18 Filed 08/21/09 Page 1 of 3
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Servic marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Servic marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Servic marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Servic marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
DISTRICT OF NEVADA *** JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
# * * * JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		
JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	DISTI	
Plaintiff, vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court		* * *
vs. PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	JERAMY PYE aka ZAZU MOHAMED,)
PACHECO #1640, etc., et al., Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	Plaintiff,) 2:08-cv-820-JCM-RJJ
Defendant, This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	vs.)
This matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	PACHECO #1640, etc., et al.,	OF UNITED STATES
 Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	Defendant,)
Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an order from the Court. The Court having reviewed this matter makes the following findings: 1. Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). 2. At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	This matter was referred to the und	dersigned Magistrate Judge on the failure of the
 Plaintiff filed this case on June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1). At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye to comply with an or	rder from the Court.
 At the time of filing the complaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	The Court having reviewed this m	atter makes the following findings:
Correctional Institution in Victorville, California. 3. Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	1. Plaintiff filed this case on I	June 24, 2008. Complaint (#1).
 Based on his incarcerated status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	2. At the time of filing the co	mplaint, Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Federal
 Forma Pauperis (#1) was granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	Correctional Institution in	Victorville, California.
 pay a partial filing fee Order (#3). 4. The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	3. Based on his incarcerated s	status, Plaintiff's Application for Leave to Proceed In
 The partial filing fee was not paid by the Plaintiff. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	Forma Pauperis (#1) was ş	granted on July 18, 2008 and Plaintiff was ordered to
 5. On July 28, 2008, the Order (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court 	pay a partial filing fee Ord	ler (#3).
marked "Not Deliverable". Returned Mail (#5). 6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	4. The partial filing fee was n	not paid by the Plaintiff.
6. Upon the filing of a Notice of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court	5. On July 28, 2008, the Orde	er (#3) was returned by the United States Postal Service
	marked "Not Deliverable".	Returned Mail (#5).
determined he was no longer incarcerated and ordered him to supplement his	6. Upon the filing of a Notice	of Change of Address (#4) by Plaintiff, the Court
	determined he was no long	er incarcerated and ordered him to supplement his

1		Application (#1) based upon his change in status. Order (#6).	
2	7.	Plaintiff filed a Supplemental Affidavit (#7) whereupon the Court set a hearing	
3		scheduling a status conference for March 16, 2009. Order (#8).	
4	8.	The Order (#8) was served on the Plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt	
5		requested. Certified Mail Receipt (#9)	
6	9.	The Order (#8) was returned by the United States Postal Service marked "Return	
7		to Sender Unclaimed Unable to Forward". Returned Mail (#10).	
8	10.	An Order to Show Cause (#11) was entered by the Court scheduling a hearing for	
9		April 10, 2009.	
10	11.	Said order was served on the Plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt requested	
11		Certified Mail Receipt (#12) and was signed for by the Plaintiff on April 9, 2009.	
12		Domestic Return Receipt (#13).	
13	12.	Plaintiff, Jeramy Pye did not appear at Show Cause hearing on April 10, 2009,	
14		and failed to contact the court regarding his non-appearance.	
15	13.	On April 30, 2009, the Court issued a second Order to Show Cause (#14)	
16		scheduling a hearing for May 26, 2009.	
17	14.	Plaintiff did not appear in Court for the hearing however, the Court was able to	
18		reach the Plaintiff by telephone and proceeded with the hearing by conference	
19		call.	
20	15.	At the hearing, the Court denied the Application for Leave to Proceed In Forma	
21		Pauperis (#1) and ordered Plaintiff to pay the full filing fee and file an amended	
22		complaint by June 26, 2009.	
23	16.	As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee and has not filed	
24		an amended complaint.	
25	17.	Plaintiff has not sought an extension of time to comply with the Court's Order and	
26		further, has not contacted the Court regarding his non-compliance.	
27		RECOMMENDATION	
28	Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing therefore,		

1	IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION of the undersigned Magistrate Judge that this case
2	be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
3	<u>NOTICE</u>
4	Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 [former LR 510-2] any objection to this Report and
5	Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within ten (10) days
6	after service of this Notice. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine
7	that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time.
8	Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), reh'g denied, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986). This Circuit has also
9	held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address
10	and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or
11	appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
12	Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).
13	DATED this 21th day of August, 2009.
14	
15	Robert N. Anston
16	ROBERT J. JOHNSTON
17	United States Magistrate Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	